

<b>Family Name</b>	Murphy
<b>Given Name</b>	Maria
<b>Person ID</b>	1286587
<b>Title</b>	Stakeholder Submission
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Family Name</b>	Murphy
<b>Given Name</b>	Maria
<b>Person ID</b>	1286587
<b>Title</b>	JPA 19: Bamford / Norden
<b>Type</b>	Web
<b>Soundness - Positively prepared?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Justified?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Consistent with national policy?</b>	Unsound
<b>Soundness - Effective?</b>	Unsound
<b>Compliance - Legally compliant?</b>	Yes
<b>Compliance - In accordance with the Duty to Cooperate?</b>	Yes
<b>Redacted reasons - Please give us details of why you consider the consultation point not to be legally compliant, is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate. Please be as precise as possible.</b>	<p>This is unsound for a number of reasons including:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- my understanding is there is no housing requirement which would justify the use of green belt land</li> <li>- the area is not well placed for public transport, the plans mention a potential increase in available bus routes but this is not confirmed and would not meet the needs of many. It would be more sensible to build nearer to accessible tram and train routes</li> <li>- there is already considerable congestion in that area particularly at rush hour, these plans would considerably worsen this situation. This would have a direct affect on people's mental health and wellbeing and may restrict the available employment opportunities for those living in the area</li> <li>- the current green belt is a valuable area for the local community which improves the health and wellbeing of existing residents.</li> <li>- the plans mention school places but no consideration for other local infrastructure such as doctors and dentists. The local schools are already at capacity</li> <li>- the increase in traffic in this area with limited public transport links will have a negative impact on the environment and on climate change as will the unnecessary use of green belt land when there are existing brown belt land that could be used instead</li> </ul>
<b>Redacted modification - Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make this section of the plan legally compliant and sound, in respect</b>	It should be removed from the PfE

**of any legal compliance  
or soundness matters  
you have identified  
above.**